



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Washington, D.C. 20520

Department of State, A/GIS/IPS/SRP
 Change to _____
 () Release () Excise () Deny () Declassify
 Exemptions b () () E.O. 13526 25x () ()
 Declassify after _____
 With concurrence of: _____
 _____ obtained _____ not obt.
 IPS by _____ Date 12-15-16
 June 16, 1980

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: MBB Readout for June 11th Meeting

1. SALT II Policy Statement: To be re-drafted for the Friday breakfast.
2. NNP Proposal: The President wrote in the Evening Reading that he wanted to see the specific proposals for what Smith would say at the Friday breakfast. This included the instructions or talking points Smith would be given.
3. SECNAV Hidalgo LA Visit: Argentina yes; Chile no, but Hidalgo not to go anywhere in the capacity of Presidential emissary.
4. SECDEF's Hill Consultations on F-15 Items for Saudis: To be put on the agenda for the Friday Breakfast. MERS out, but no decision on pods. OK to sell Saudis the tankers but they must be told they will be C-130's with booms; there will have to be intensive consultations with Congress in advance and they will also have to be made available to the Israelis.
5. Wilson Article: Sensitive article--no readout.
6. Energy as National Security Issue at Summit: Interesting issue; should be raised at the Summit.
7. Schmidt Speech: Letter to Schmidt to be drafted.
8. Friedrich Ebert Workshop: Aaron to go and speak. His speech to be non-controversial and cleared by State.
9. ASAT: Didn't come up.
10. Romania--Military Supply Relationship: Go back low key to the Romanians in a semi-encouraging way and see if they can be more specific.
11. Extension of MILGRP Commander in Liberia: Didn't come up.

~~SECRET~~

David A. Pabst



DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Washington, D.C. 20520

Ken Told

Department of State, A/GIS/IPS/SRP

Change to _____
 () Release () ~~SECRET~~ () ~~Declassify~~ ()
 Exemptions b () () E.O. 13526 25x () ()
 Declassify after _____
 With concurrence of: _____
 IPS by *[Signature]* obtained _____ not obt. _____
 Date *12-1-16*

AL600 go: H. said told 2nd 100 affected

June 10, 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Secretary

FROM: PM - Reginald Bartholomew *RB*

SUBJECT: Your Luncheon Meeting with Secretary Brown and Dr. Brzezinski, Wednesday, June 11th

1. Policy Statement on SALT II: At Tab 1 is the latest draft of the SALT policy statement which the President last Friday asked be done. The three of you will want to review this paper before it goes forward to the President.

2. Nuclear Non-Proliferation Proposal: We understand Harold wants to raise the subject of Gerry Smith's proposals to improve the non-proliferation regime. Background and talking points at Tab 2.

3. SecNav Hidalgo's Latin American Trip: Secretary of the Navy and Mrs. Hidalgo will visit Latin America June 28-July 9. You told the President on June 5 you did not believe it appropriate for a visit to Chile or Argentina at this time given our current posture toward Chile. Although the PRC has directed improvement in our relations with Argentina, a keynote of that effort will be Assistant Secretary Bowdler's visit in late July. A visit by Hidalgo before Bowdler would seriously lessen the impact we hope for, especially as there already have been several high level visitors this year.

We have already approved Secretary Hidalgo's plans to visit Mexico, Panama, Venezuela, and Brazil. Either Colombia or Peru, both important democratic countries with sizeable maritime interests, could be substituted for Argentina and Chile. We would recommend Secretary Hidalgo add the Dominican Republic to his trip as an indicator of high-level US interest and support for Caribbean security.

4. SecDef's Hill Consultations on F-15 Related Items for Saudis: We understand Zbig will ask Harold to review his consultations with Senator Byrd on the F-15 related items the Saudis have asked for. Harold has spoken to Byrd about conformal fuel pods and tankers and Byrd reportedly had no objection but suggested that we consult widely and obtain general congressional agreement.

~~SECRET~~

POD = No pod-out

*NSC: HB's TP's to be reviewed at a
 Sec FW SCC - No final decisions taken*

Fri. bkfst. redraft
- Pres. write erg. ready
and specific proposal what's say... for Fri. bkfst.
- Wants to see G8
instructs.
- what proposal... was written.
Aug. yes...
Chile no...
not as promised
anywhere.

Sr. agenda

MERS out
Pods no decis
Tankers - agreed
WHB can see
But Saudis told
1) CISA's of lessons 2) Lukens consult
3) have to give to Saudis

~~SECRET~~

-2-

Harold is scheduled to meet Sultan on June 26. We understand he now intends to hear Sultan out on the F-15 items, make no commitments, but make some positive noises about proceeding in January with pods and tankers.

PM and NEA believe Harold should give a positive signal about consulting Congress this year on the pods. H disagrees, believing we should not reopen this issue with the Congress this year. We do not believe Harold should be positive on the tankers, which raise major political and absorptive problems (we have refused jet tanker capability to Israel). Instead he should encourage Sultan in the belief that the pods provide the optimal method of increasing the range of the F-15s. Similarly, we should not make any undertaking at this time with respect to Multiple Ejection Bomb Racks (MERs), but should indicate we will consider that request later, in light of the Congressional response we receive on the pods.

Apparently Harold has not focussed on the AIM-9L Sidewinder which incorporates sensitive technology, but is not covered by congressional assurances and has been sold to Israel. We believe Harold should be authorized to agree in principle to sale of this item.

More detail is contained in the attachment at Tab 3.

- Sensitive issue
not talk,
evening through
bad price
interesting
issue ...
revised Summit.
Letter*
5. Wilson Article on Iran Hostages: You wanted to discuss the Wilson article in the Tuesday Post which talks about ongoing plans for rescue of the hostages. The article is at Tab 4.
 6. Energy as a National Security Issue at the Summit: Harold has sent over a paper urging that energy be dealt with at the Venice Summit as a national security issue. See Harold's and our paper at Tab 5.
 7. Schmidt Speech in Essen: Schmidt may have done it to us again on TNF with a speech in Essen in which he called for NATO and the Soviets to mutually refrain from TNF deployments for the next three years. Zbig plans to have a Presidential letter ready for the three of you to review at the MBB. The letter will contain the threat of an explicit public dissociation from Schmidt's proposals unless he backs off. While we think a letter may be a good idea, we believe you should tell Zbig you will want to have the letter reviewed and will get back to him soon with our comments after we have had a chance to read the text of Schmidt's speech.
 8. Friedrich Ebert Workshop on Security Issues in Bonn: We understand Zbig may want to raise this issue in the context of having an appropriate American representation to match the *Ann speech
claim of
st / non controversial*

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

-3-

high level German group which will be present. If current plans hold the US will be represented by David Aaron, Paul Warnke, and John Newhouse, among others.

- Didn't come up

9. ASAT: The talks have been in recess since June 1, 1979. It is clear on the basis of today's (Tuesday's) mini-SCC that we could get out instructions that would allow for progress if a new round were held. Thus the issue now is whether to propose resumption of the talks. This issue has two essentially political dimensions: a) our arms control interests and the possible political advantage of a visible reaffirmation this summer of our commitment to continue arms control that serves our security interests; b) the risks that an initiative on ASAT would undercut our post-Afghanistan objectives by signalling to the Soviets, the Allies or others a step towards business-as-usual with the Soviets. I think this is a close call, but a case can be made for trying it (see Tab 6). This is one for you, Harold and Zbig to discuss and for the President ultimately to decide. If the decision is to go ahead, then we need to schedule an early SCC and inform the Soviets we want to schedule a round this summer--both before your departure for Venice if possible.

*Go back to work,
semi-encouraging way
ask if can be more
specific*

10. Romania: Military Supply Relationship: See paper at Tab 7.

11. Extension of US MILGRP Commander in Liberia: Col. Gosney: We understand that Brzezinski will raise the extension of Colonel Gosney as head of the US Military Mission in Liberia. Colonel Gosney has been in Liberia for several years and has earned the respect of Liberian officers and enlisted men. We believe the State Department should support this extension.

12. *York letter. Zbig exch. corresp. long-hand Polish w/ Pope.*

~~SECRET~~

(Only if it arrives)

Brown Bay

CONFIDENTIAL (entire text)

MBB

M60s for Jordan

We have agreed to sell 100 M60A3 tanks to Jordan and to consult with Congress on an additional 100 M60s. We are prepared to make the informal notification to Congress on the 100 tanks this week. The alternative would be to hold off until Hussein departs.

The issue is how and when to consult on the second 100 tanks. The second 100 tanks increase Jordan's armor force from 16 to 18 battalions, and is consequently likely to run into Israeli and Congressional opposition.

DOD reportedly wants to take the lead in the consultations and can be expected to push hard on the Hill.

We believe State should lead, telling the Congress that another 100 tanks are under consideration, but that no decision has been made. If we do decide to go ahead, the notification would likely not be made until the new Congress convenes in January. With the likely sale of 100 to Jordan, 67 to Egypt and 52 M60s to the UAE, the production line will remain open long enough to permit a January notification.

The NSC has taken no final position but believes the second 100 tanks requires a Presidential decision, because of the change in force structure involved and the political implications of going ahead now.

~~Department of State, A/GIS/IPS/SRP~~
 Change to _____
 () Release () Excise () Deny () ☒ Declassify
 Exemptions b () () E.O. 13526 25x () ()
 Declassify after _____
 With concurrence of: _____
 IPS by AD obtained _____ not obt. _____
 Date 17-15-16

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
 GDS 6/11/86

R959

Muskie 82D100 P-10 RC1261

Box 3

Muskie luncheon 1980